

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/661,177 | 09/12/2003 | Steven Carl Crusius | 5569/78927 | 8291 |
| 2232 7590 05230908 FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY 120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | AMRANY, ADI | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/23/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/661,177 CRUSIUS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ADI AMRANY 2836 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/661,177

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the appeal brief filed on 4/24/08, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/Michael J Sherry/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2836

Applicant's arguments made in the Appeal Brief (April 24, 2008) have been considered and are persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of

Petkovsek, which discloses that the battery is charged and discharged through the same connection to the first conduction line, with a diode to bypass the charging circuit during discharge (mains failure).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2-8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petkovsek (US 4,401,895) in view of Peplinski (US 2003/0063715).
- With respect to claim 10, Petkovsek discloses a battery backup apparatus (fig 1; col. 2, lines 23-26), comprising:
 - a DC voltage supply (12; col. 2, lines 26-31) having a mains input voltage (10a-b);
 - a DC power connection from the DC voltage supply to a load (14 and 10cd; col. 2, lines 31-40);
 - a battery (18; col. 2, lines 53-68) having a first and second terminals;
 a first conduction path (path from 10a to 10c) and second conduction path
 - (path from 10b to 10d) connected to the DC voltage supply (at 12);
 - a battery charging circuit (16) for receiving a DC voltage from the DC voltage supply via the first conduction path and the second conduction path (at

26, 28) and for charging the battery when the DC voltage from the DC voltage supply exceeds a predetermined voltage (col. 4, lines 14-31 and 49-58); and a third conduction path comprising a unidirectional isolation device (D1; col. 3, lines 9-20) connecting a battery DC voltage from the first battery terminal to the Dc voltage supply via the first conduction path when the mains voltage input fails (col. 4, lines 32-34).

Petkovsek discloses a unidirectional isolation device to bypass the battery charger and supply power from the battery to the conduction paths during mains power failure, as recited in the claim. Petkovsek does not expressly disclose the battery backup apparatus is for use with a barrier movement operator and that the DC power connection supplies power to a barrier movement control.

Peplinksi discloses a backup battery apparatus (fig 1-2; par 19) for use with a barrier movement operator comprising a DC power connection from the DC voltage supply (output of rectifier; par 21) to a barrier movement control (70, 84). The limitation of "for use" with a barrier movement operator is interpreted as the end use of the battery backup apparatus. Petkovsek and Peplinski are analogous because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely battery backup apparatuses. At the time of the invention by applicants, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to configure the Petkovsek battery backup apparatus to supply DC power to the barrier movement operator disclosed in Peplinski. The barrier movement operator is the end use of the device, and the Petkovsek battery backup apparatus would operate in the same manner regardless of the load it is connected to.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,177

Art Unit: 2836

With respect to claim 2, Petkovsek (24; col. 3, lines 49-56) and Peplinski (fig 2, item 180; page 3, par 24) both disclose an <u>audible</u> signaling device.

With respect to claim 3, Peplinski further discloses an apparatus (par 25-26) for enabling the audible signaling device in response to current flowing from the battery to the DC voltage supply via the unidirectional isolation device.

With respect to claim 4, Petkovsek (24; col. 3, lines 49-56) and Peplinski (pars 32-33) both disclose one or more <u>visual</u> signaling devices. Peplinski discloses that the battery backup apparatus can connect to the Internet and transmit fax messages to inform the user of system conditions.

With respect to claim 5, Petkovsek (col. 2, lines 53-68) and Peplinski (fig 6a, item R1; par 44) discloses the battery charging device comprises circuitry for limiting a current applied to the first battery terminal.

With respect to claim 6, Petkovsek (col. 2, lines 65-68) and Peplinski (par 44, lines 1-2) disclose the circuitry for limiting, limits the current to an amount less than a predetermined maximum amount.

With respect to claim 7, Peplinski further discloses cut out circuitry (fig 6b, items K1, K2, S1 and S2; page 4, pars 37 and 38) for disconnecting the first battery terminal from the unidirectional device.

With respect to claim 8, Peplinski further discloses cutout circuitry (fig 6b, items K1-4, S1 and S2; page 4, par 38) for disconnecting the first battery terminal from the battery charging device.

With respect to claim 11, Peplinksi discloses the predetermined voltage is 18 volts (par 41). At the time of the invention by applicants, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to reconfigure the predetermined voltage to be greater than 20 volts since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

 Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petkovsek in view of Peplinski and Furst (US 5,844,328).

With respect to claim 9, Petkovsek and Peplinski do not expressly disclose circuitry for selectively disconnecting the first battery terminal from the first conduction path when the first conduction path is disconnected from the input DC voltage.

Furst discloses a backup battery apparatus comprising a switch 72 that allows the backup battery 12 to be disconnected from the load 20 at any time desired by the user (fig 1, items 72; col. 6, lines 53-64). Petkovsek, Peplinski and Furst are analogous because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely battery backup apparatuses. At the time of the invention by applicant, it would have been obvious to combine the battery backup apparatus disclosed in Petkovsek and Peplinski with the cutout switch disclosed in Furst in order to disconnect the battery to prevent any current discharge when the battery backup apparatus is not connected to a power source.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

5. Applicant's amendment (September 18, 2007; claim 10: "when mains voltage to the mains voltage input fails") necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ADI AMRANY whose telephone number is (571)272-0415. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, from 10am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on (571) 272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,177 Page 8

Art Unit: 2836

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael J Sherry/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2836

AA